

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United states Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS OF Box 443 Alexandra, Arginia 22313-1450 www.usnb.gv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,981	12/31/2003	Alexander Baumann	11884/410301	8995
23838 KENVON & K	7590 06/05/2007 TENYON I I P	EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			NGO, CHUONG D	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	7.1, DC 20003		2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/747,981	BAUMANN, ALEXANDER		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chuong D. Ngo	2193		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This 3)    Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	r election requirement.  r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite for incomplete since the claim is directed to a method for defining quantitative measures in a computer system, but it is unclear from the claim how the quantitative measures are defined; claim 5 also has the same problem. Further, it is also indefinite as to what the claimed method does if the increment quantity can not be presented precisely within the storage length allocated for the conversion relation; claim 10 has has the same problem.

As per claim 5, it is indefinite as to what the claimed method does if the increment quantity can be presented precisely within the storage length allocated for the conversion relation; Claim 14 also has the same problem.

Claim 18 is indefinite for incomplete since the claim is directed to a method for product planning using a computer system, but it is unclear from the claim how the product planning is carried out.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2193

claims 1-28 is clearly directed to a method and a computer readable medium having program instruction for performing calculation. In order for such a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must accomplish a practical application. That is the claimed invention must transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing, or produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Also see "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", OG Notices: 22 November 2005. It is clear from claims 1-28 that the claims merely involve in calculations and manipulations of data. The claimed invention does not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The inputs are numbers and the output are also numbers. The result produced by the invention does not has a real world values but merely a numerical value without a practical application recited in the claims to make the result useful, concrete and tangible. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as the claims fail to accomplish a practical application. Further, since the claims appears to cover every substantial practical application, it is directed to a preemption of the claimed calculation.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong D. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3731. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chuong D Ngo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193

05/25/2007